

The government should lower the budget on the arts in order to allocate more money to education. To what extent do you agree?

The administration must decrease the foundation on the artistic projects for the purpose of assigning further money to schooling. In my opinion, art is a part of education, lowering the budget on the arts will impact on the quality of education. On the other hand, arts can be lucrative for a city or country. Consequently, they will be profitable for the education system as well. Thus, I ~~am~~ do not agree with this idea.

Nowadays, we are using various methods and instruments in education in order to get better results, for the sake of give-giving more passion to students and helpign them to learn easier and well. Most of the new techniques and equipment that we are using these days are artistic. Such as, music, videos, statues and even movies. We use historical movies to teach history to the next generations, we use animation or scientific movies for teaching science. Museums, art galleries or theaters are not only a place to visit an artistic masterpiece, but also any of them have a story and a lesson for us especially, for the young stratum.

When the government funding-funds money for arts, an art gallery for instance or a new theater salon it seems to me, it is not just spending money. It is an investigation-investment because it can leads to a stronger economy, by new job opportunities and revenues. Apart from~~to~~ being an art gallery, it is a touristic palace as well. People go to museums and theaters every day, they spending their money for admission, entrance, entry entering-tickets, buying gifts as a memory or souvenir from gift shops, and meanwhile, they are improving their knowledge. As a result, as much as the government spends money ~~to~~on arts, they are improving the education system and education's quality too.